

**SCC LOCAL COMMITTEE (WAVERLEY)**  
**9 March 2018**



**OPEN FORUM FOR PUBLIC QUESTIONS**

1. **Mrs McKern, The Bourne** asked a question relating to the whether Surrey County Council had a Plan B should retail outlets not be the answer to drawing residents into Farnham.

**The Cabinet Member for Highways** stated that this was an evolving picture, and Surrey County Council would feed into Waverley Borough Council and the developer Crest Nicholson as appropriate. That queries like this should be fed into the developer Crest Nicholson.

2. **Mr Hesse**, stated that there is was an increasing elderly population within Waverley, and there was a decreasing number of places available for residents especially with the closure of Cobgates. What is Surrey County Council doing to combat this?

**The Chairman** advised Mr Hesse that he would refer the question to the Cabinet Member for Adults for a response within the month. It was also mentioned that the Leader of the Council has asked that residents and members support the Council's lobbying of local MPs to improve the funding for this arena.

**\*\* Following the meeting, the Cabinet Member for Adults provided this response:** We are undertaking in partnership with the property team of assessing the growing need for residential accommodation (particularly nursing care), extra care and respite services through-out the county. The Cobgates site is included in this assessment, but at this stage in cannot advise the future of this site. I hope that this answers your question

3. **Cllr Hyman asked** whether there had been a modelling of the proposed redesign of East Street, and if so could this modelling be made public.

**The Area Highways Manager** stated that this has been modelled, and was satisfied that it would work. He would try to get this information to residents by 22 March 2018.

4. **Cllr Beaman asked** when the sign outside the sweet shop on Downing Street would be fixed as it was causing a safety hazard.

**The Cabinet Member for Highways** advised Cllr Beaman that it was due to be fixed the following week.

5. **Cllr Steven Haines asked** why there was nothing on the proposed works to mitigate against the new Dunsfold applications, and whether parish councils could be part of this conversation.

**The Cabinet Member for Highways** advised that he was having conversations with Waverley Borough Council on applications to be considered by the Borough

## ITEM 2

Council, and that parish councils would be consulted as part of the planning process.

**6. Cllr Murphy asked why there was nothing on Project Horizon for Elstead area.**

**The Cabinet Member for Highways advised** that he liaise with his county councillor to feed in as part of the local conversations. **The Area Highways Manager suggested** that Elstead Parish Council consider what they could complete with some lengthsman funding, should the committee approve this later in the agenda.

**7. A Farnham Town Councillor asked** whether there was a proposal to resurface the Hindhead Road to Tilford as it was in an appalling state.

**The Area Highways Manager advised** that he was aware of this road, but the committee needed to consider roads in priority order which shifted as road conditions changed. He would look at whether it was included for future years.